

### INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF

PHARMA PROFESSIONAL'S

RESEARCH



### FORMULATION, DEVELOPMENT AND IN VITRO EVALUATION OF TASTE MASKING MOUTH DISSOLVING TABLET OF LEVOCETIRIZINE DIHYDROCHLORIDE

Dr. Shivendra Agarwal\*<sup>1</sup>, Raghvendra Misra<sup>2</sup>, Dr. Girendra Kumar Gautam<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmacy, Vivekanand College of Pharmacy, Bijnor (U.P.)

<sup>2</sup>Department of Pharmacy, Teerthanker Mahaveer College of Pharmacy, Moradabad (U.P.)

<sup>3</sup>Department of Pharmacy, Shri Ram College of Pharmacy, Muzaffarnagar (U.P.)

### Keywords:

Levocetirizine dihydrochloride, allergic rhinitis, superdisintigrants, Mouth dissolving tablets.

### **Corresponding Author-**

Dr. Shivendra Agarwal Principal Vivekanand College of Pharmacy, Chandpur Bijnor (U.P.)

Emailagarwalshivacsr@gmail.com

### Introduction:

Taste is one of the most important parameters governing patient compliance. Undesirable taste is one of several important formulation problems that are encountered with certain drugs. Oral administration of bitter drugs with an acceptable degree of palatability is a key issue for health care providers, especially for pediatric patients. Several oral pharmaceuticals, numerous food and beverage products, and bulking agents have unpleasant, bitter-tasting components. So,

ABSTRACT:

Levocetirizine dihydrochloride is a selective, long acting peripheral H1receptor antagonist. Allergic rhinitis is a symptomatic disorder of the nose induced by inflammation mediated by immunoglobulin E (IgE) in the membrane lining the nose after allergen exposure. Thus formulating Levocetirizine into an mouth dissolving tablet dosage form would provide fast relief. The Levocetirizine is bitter in taste so the Kyron T-114 (ion exchange resin) was used to mask the taste and to formulate an mouth dissolving tablet dosage form using drug resin complex. The tablets were evaluated for the drug content, weight variation, water absorption ratio, wetting time, in vitro disintegration, dispersion time, hardness, friability, thickness uniformity. The tablets disintegrated in vitro within 22 to 66 seconds complete drug were released from tablet within 10 minutes.

any pharmaceutical formulation with a pleasing taste would definitely be preferred over a competitor's product and would translate into better compliance and therapeutic value for the patient and more business and profits for the company. The desire of improved palatability in these products has prompted the development of numerous formulations with improved performance and acceptability [<sup>1</sup>]. The methods most commonly involved for achieving taste masking include various chemical and physical

methods that prevent the drug substance from interaction with taste buds. The simplest method involves use of flavor enhancers. Where these methods fail more complex methodologies are adopted. Various techniques have been identified for taste masking which include polymer coating, inclusion complex formation with cyclodextrin, use of ion exchange resins, solubility limiting methods, liposome, multiple emulsions, use of anesthetic agents, etc. Oral drug delivery is the most favored route for the administration of various medications and tablets are the most widely accepted dosage form. Solid dosage forms are popular because of the ease of administration, accurate dosage, self-medication, pain avoidance, and most importantly patient compliance [2]. Among the major problems faced by many patients with conventional tablet dosage form is difficulty in swallowing. This problem is more apparent when drinking water is not easily available to the patient taking medicine. Dispersible tablet delivery system is characterized by fast disintegration, quick dissolving, rapid release and improved patient compliance. Dispersible tablets are either uncoated or film-coated tablets which can be dispersed within three minutes in a small amount of water or breast milk before oral administration giving a homogenous dispersion [3]. United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) defined fast dissolving tablets as a "solid dosage forms containing medicinal substances or active ingredients which disintegrate rapidly usually within a matter of seconds when placed in water ".European pharmacopoeia also adopted the term "dispersible tablet" as a tablet that is to be placed in the water where it disperses, rapidly before swallowing [4]

### AN IDEAL PROPERTIES FOR TASTE MASKING

- Involve least number of equipments and processing steps.
- Require minimum number of excipients for an optimum formulation.
- > No adverse effect on drug bioavailability.
- Require excipients that are economical and easily available.
- Least manufacturing cost.
- > Can be carried out at room temperature.
- Require excipients that have high margin of safety.

Rapid and easy to prepare.

Levocetirizine (as levocetirizine hydrochloride) is a third generation non-sedative antihistamine, developed from the second-generation anti-histamine levocetirizine is cetrizine. Chemically, active enantiomers of cetrizine. It is the L-enantiomers of cetrizine race mate. Levocetirizine works by blocking histamine receptors. It does not prevent the actual release of histamine from mast cells, but prevents it binding to its receptors. The main aim of this study is to develop and characterize mouth dissolving tablets of Levocetirizine dihydrochloride which disintegrate in the oral cavity in a matter of second without need of water and also develop taste masked mouth dissolving Levocetirizine diHCl tablet using direct compression method using cationic exchange resin. This helps in easy swallowing hereby improves clinical effects through pregastric absorption, leading to an increase in bioavailability of the drug and quick onset ofpharmacological action can takes place. [5]

### MATERAIL AND METHOD

Table	No.1.Lists	of	materials	used	and	their
manuf	acturers/ su	ppli	iers			

S.No	Materials	manufacturers/ suppliers
1.	Levocetirizi ne di HCl	Metrochem API Pvt. Limited Hyderabad
2.	Kyron T- 114	Corel Pharma chem. Gujrat
3.	Microcrysta lline Cellulose p <sup>H</sup> (102)	N.B Enterprises ,Nagpur
4.	Aspartame	Biocon Ltd. Dehradun
5.	Colloidal silicon dioxide	GlenmarkPhrmaceutica 1, Baddi
6.	Magnesium stearate	Amishi Drug & Chemicals Ahmedabad

IJPPR (2023),	Vol.	14,	Issue	1
---------------	------	-----	-------	---

7.	Mannitol	Classic		
		Enterprises, Delhi		
8.	NaCl I.P	Vintage		
		Enterprises, Delhi		
9.	Talcum I.P	OrgaChemiagencies,D		
		elhi		
10.	Sodium	OrgaChemiagencies,D		
	starch	elhi		
	glycolate			
11.	Crosscarmel	Shreeji Chemicals		
	lose sodium	,Mumbai		
12.	Crosspovidone	Shreeji Chemicals		
		,Mumbai		
13.	Flavour	Shakti Trading		
	Vanilla dry	company,Thane		

### **METHODS**

### DIRECT COMPRESSION TECHNIQUE Activation of Resin<sup>[6]</sup>

Batch method was used to prepare drug resin complex. Ion exchange resins Kyron-114 was swelled with deionised water for an hour and then washed with 1N hydrochloric acid and 1N NaOH in order to remove impurities (alkali and acidic impurities). The treated resin was washed several times with freshly prepared deionized water to remove the traces of acid or alkali. This treated resin was kept in oven for 12 h at 50°C. The dried activated resin was kept in desiccator until in use.

### **Preparation of Drug- Resin Complex:**<sup>[7]</sup>

- Drug-resinates were prepared using batch method
- The resins were first washed with distilled water.
- Take purified water add potassium hydroxide to it.
- Added required amount of drug in the ratio 1:3 (Drug: Polymer) in this slurry under stirred condition.
- After the complete addition, kept stirring for around 4 hours so that most of the drug gets complexed with the resin.

• Sifted the mass threw mess 10# & allow to dry in tray drier at 50-55<sup>0</sup> C for 1 hr. The drug content in the filtrate was analyzed by ultraviolet (UV) spectroscopy at 230.1nm.

# PREPARATIONOFLEVOCETIRIZINEDIHYDROCHLORIDEMOUTH DISSOLVINGTABLET FROM DRUGRESIN COMPLEXUSING SUPERDISINTEGRANTS: [8,9,10]

Granules of drug-resinate earlier obtained were mixed/blended with super disintergrants sodium glycolate, crosscarmellosesodium, starch crosspovidone which are already sifted threw mesh no.80#and MCC(PH-102) as diluent, spray dried mannitol as mouth feel enhancer, Mg.stearate as lubricant, Talcum as glidant and anti adherent and colloidal silicon dioxide as glidant which are already sifted threw mesh no.40#.and also lubricated with sodium chloride as taste inhancer flav.cherry.flav.vannila dry, aspartame as sweetner and menthol as flavouring and cooling agent which are already sifted threw mesh no.80#. Before compression, hardness was adjusted. Drug-resinate equivalent to 5mg of Levocetirizine, dihydrochloride were compressed on 10- station rotary punching machine to get tablets, each weighing 185 mg.

### TABLE No.2. COMPOSITION OF MOUTH DISSOLVING TABLET OF LEVOCETIRIZINE DIHYDROCHLORIDE

Ingredients	Formulation Code & Quantity (mgs)								
Ũ	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	F7	F8	F9
Levocetirizin eDihychlorid e:									
Kyron T-114 (1:3)	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
Microcrystall ine cellulose (PH- 102)	80	79 .8	75 .6	80	85 .8	80	82 .1	84 .1	78 .1
Mannitol	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60
Sodium Chloride	0. 7	0. 7	0. 7	0. 7	0. 7	0. 7	0. 7	0. 7	0. 7
Flavour Cherry	1. 0	1. 0	1. 0	1. 0	1. 0	1. 0	1. 0	1. 0	1. 0
Flavour Vanilla Dry	0. 25 0	0. 25 0	0. 25 0	0. 25 0	0. 25 0	0. 25 0	0. 25 0	0. 25 0	0. 25 0

IJPPR (2023), Vol. 14, Issue 1

Magnisium	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.
Stearate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Talcum	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.
	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Colloidal silicon dioxide	1. 2								
Aspartame	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.
	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Sodium starch glycolate	4. 1	6. 3	8. 5	4. 1	6. 3	8. 5			
Cross Carmellose Sodium				6	2	6	6	2	6
Cross Povidone	5	7	9				5	7	9
Menthol	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
	05	05	05	05	05	05	05	05	05

Table No. 3. Lists of used equipments

S.No	Name of	Manufacturers
	equipments	
1.	UV Visible	Shimadzu Corporation, japan
	Spectrophotometer	
2.	FTIR	Shimadzu FTIR-8400S Kyoto,
	Spectrophotometer	Japan
3.	Digital Electronic	Mettler Toledo AB204-S,
	balance	Switzerland, India
4.	Digital p <sup>H</sup> Meter	Digisunelectronics,Hyderabad
5.	Hot air oven	Universal
6.	Tablet Punching	Shakti,Ahemdabad
	Machine	
7.	Roche Friabilator	Biological Museum, Agra
8.	Tablet Hardness	Pfizer
	tester	
9.	Vernier Caliper	Mitutoyo, Japan
10	Dissolution Test	Electrolab TDL-08L
	Apparatus USP Std.	
11.	HPLC	Waters 2965, Milford, US
12.	Disintegration test	Electrolab
	apparatus	

### EVALUTION PARAMETER OF MOUTH DISSOLVING TABLETS:

### Precompression parameters: [11]

**1.**Angle of repose  $(\theta)$ :

Table.no.4 shows the results obtained for angle of repose of all the formulations. The values were found to be in the range of  $18^{0.00}$ ' to  $21^{0.67}$ '. All formulations showed the angle of repose with in  $21.67^{0}$ . It indicates that all formulations showed good flow properties.

### 2.Bulk Density:

Bothloose bulk density (LBD) and tapped bulk density results are shown in Table 4 The loose bulk density and tapped bulk density for all the formulations varied from 0.46gm/cm<sup>3</sup> to 0.59 gm/cm<sup>3</sup> and 0.53 gm/m<sup>3</sup> to 0.69 gm/cm<sup>3</sup> respectively. The values obtained lies within the acceptable range and not large difference found between loose bulk density and tapped bulk density. This result helps in calculating the % compressibility and hausner's ratio of the tablet blend.

### **3.Percentage Compressibility:**

This percent compressibility of tablet blend was determined by carr's Index. Table 4 shows result obtained for percentage compressibility. The percentage compressibility for all the nine formulations lies within the range of 11.53 to 15.38%. All the formulations showing good compressibility.

### 4.Hausner's Ratio:

Thishausner's ratio of tablet blend was determined . Table 4 shows result obtained for percentage compressibility. The hausner's ratio for all the nine formulations lies within the range of 1.13 to 1.18. All the formulations showing good flow property.

Table no.4 : Angle of repose, Loose bulk Density, Tapped bulk Density, Carr's Compressibility Index & Hausner's Ratio –

Formulat ion Code	Angl e Of Repo se (θ)	Loose Bulk Densit y (gm/c m <sup>3</sup> )	Tappe d Bulk Densit y (gm/c m <sup>3</sup> )	% Compressib ility	Hausne r's Ratio
F <sub>1</sub>	18.56	0.46	0.53	13.20	1.15

IJPPR (2023), Vol. 14, Issue 1

F <sub>2</sub>	21.17	0.47	0.54	12.96	1.14
F <sub>3</sub>	20.54	0.46	0.52	11.53	1.13
F <sub>4</sub>	21.17	0.59	0.69	14.00	1.16
F <sub>5</sub>	18.26	0.57	0.66	13.63	1.15
F <sub>6</sub>	20.29	0.54	0.62	12.90	1.14
F <sub>7</sub>	20.59	0.55	0.65	15.38	1.18
F <sub>8</sub>	21.67	0.53	0.62	14.51	1.17
F <sub>9</sub>	20.50	0.52	0.60	13.33	1.15

### Post – Compression Parameters:-[12,13,14]

All the tablet formulations were subjected for organoleptic, physical and chemical evaluations. Shape, thickness, hardness, friability, weight variation, in vitro disintegration time, wetting time, water absorption ratio, drug content, in vitro dissolution studies were carried out.

### **1.Genral Appearance:**

Randomdly picked tablets from each formulation batch examine under lens for shape and in the presence of light for colour. Tablets shows flat , circular biconvex and white in colour.

### 2.Thickness test:

The thickness of tablets measured by using vernier calipers by picking the tablets randomly .The mean value shown in table no.5.The values are almost uniform in all formulations. Thickness was found in the range from  $2.91\pm0.01$  mm to  $2.97\pm0.01$  mm respectively.

### 3. Uniformity of weight:

The % weight variation for all the formulations tabulated in Table no.5 All the tablet pass weight variation test and% weight variation was in the Pharmacopoeial limit of  $\pm 10\%$ . It was found to be from 184.95 to190.25 mg. This is due to good flow property and compressibility in all formulations.

### 4.Hardness test:

The result of hardness was given in Table no.5 . Hardness test was performed by Pfizer hardness tester. Hardness was maintained to be 2.2kg/cm<sup>2</sup> to 2.5 kg/cm<sup>2</sup> . The hardness of all formulations were uniform and have sufficient mechanical strength.

### 5.Friability test:

The study result was tabulated in Table no.5. was found in well approved range (<1%) in all the formulations. Result revealed that the tablets posses good mechanical strength.

### Table.no.5 Result of

Thickness,Hardness,Friability and Weight Variation of Levocetirizinedihydrochloride tablets

Formula	Thickn	Hardn	Friabil	Weight
tion code	ess	ess	ity	Variatio
	(mm) (n=3)	(kg/cm <sup>2</sup> ) (n=3)	(%) (n=10)	n (n=20)
F <sub>1</sub>	2.93±0.	2.32±0	0.40±0	185.05±
	02	.25	.05	1.15
F <sub>2</sub>	2.94±0.	2.33±0	0.39±0	186.0±1.
	02	.27	.05	77
F <sub>3</sub>	2.94±0.	2.32±0	0.39±0	185.04±
	01	.25	.02	1.23
F <sub>4</sub>	2.95±0.	2.20±0	0.41±0	190.25±
	01	.25	.04	1.50
F5	2.96±0.	2.21±0	0.42±0	184.00±
	01	.25	.04	1.80
F <sub>6</sub>	2.97±0.	2.22±0	0.41±0	185.05±
	01	.27	.03	1.00
F <sub>7</sub>	2.93±0.	2.47±0	0.38±0	184.95±
	02	.25	.07	1.12
F <sub>8</sub>	2.92±0.	2.50±0	0.38±0	184.95±
	01	.25	.05	1.01
F <sub>9</sub>	2.91±0.	2.50±0	0.38±0	184.95±
	01	.25	.03	1.00

### **6.Wetting Time:**

Wetting time depend upon inner structure of tablet and hydrophilicity of excipients. The record of wetting time was shown in Table no. 6. The wetting time in all the formulations is very fast.





### Fig no 1. Showing wetting time of Levocetirizine dihydrochloride tablets

### 7.Water Absorption Ratio:

The water absorption ratio for all the formulations tabulated in Table no.6. The ratio values of formulations found in the range of 86.30 to 119.53.

### 8.In vitro Disintegration time:

The internal structure of tablet that is pore size distribution, water penetration into the tablet and swelling of disintegrating substance are suggested to be the mechanism of disintegration. The result shown in Table no6 This was determined as per I.P for all the formulations. All the formulation showed disintegration time less than 48 sec. Disintegration time was observed in the order of sodium starch glycolate>crosscarmellose sodium > crosspovidone.

Table.No.6ResultsofWettingtime,WaterAbsorptionRatioandDisintegrationtimeofLevocetirizinedihydrochloridetablets

Formulatio n Code	Wetting Time	Water Absorptio n Ratio	Disintegratio n Time
$F_1$	46±1.341 6	100.00±0.6 0	34±1.34
F <sub>2</sub>	32±1.527 5	106.06±0.9 1	32±1.52
F <sub>3</sub>	30±1.154 7	109.06±0.0 3	30±1.15
F <sub>4</sub>	44±1.527 5	92.20±0.91	44±1.52
F <sub>5</sub>	50±1.000 0	86.30±0.03	48±1.00
F <sub>6</sub>	48±1.341 6	97.44±0.26	46±1.34
F <sub>7</sub>	24±1.527 5	115.00±0.5 4	24±1.52
F <sub>8</sub>	28±1.527 5	105.06±0.2 3	28±1.54
F9	22±1.523	119.53±0.3	22±1.53

### 9.Drug Content Uniformity:

The content uniformity for all nine formulations and result was shown in Table no.7.The mean value and standard deviation of all the formulations was calculated.The drug content of tablets was found to be between  $4.775\pm0.120$  mg to  $4.912\pm0.135$  mg of Levocetirizine dihydrochloride.And% drug content was found to be between 98.10% to 100.40% of Levocetirizine dihydrochloride tablets.

# Table .No.7 Data for Drug Content& % Drug content for

LevocetirizineDihydrochloride Tablets

#### Research Article

Formulation	Drug Content	% Drug
code	(n=3)mg	Content
F1	4.775±0.120	97.90
F2	4.825±0.011	96.72
F3	4.912±0.135	100.94
F4	4.795±0.160	99.10
F5	4.775±0.130	98.10
F6	4.800±0.110	
		98.20
F7	4.800±110	98.20
F8	4.875±0.104	99.58
F9	4.900±0.077	99.54

### **10.** *In Vitro* Dissolution Time:

*In vitro* dissolution studies of all the formulations of Levocetrizine diHydrochloride were carried out in 6.8 pH phosphate buffer . Percentage drug release was calculated at 3, 6, 9, 12 and 15 minutes. The variation in drug release was due to different types of superdisintegrants in different concentrations in all the formulations. Dissolution study revealed that the almost all the drug released within the 15 minutes from all the formulations.

From the dissolution data it can be observed that Formulation F9 containing CP and CCS showed the highest percentage of drug release (96.36%). This may the higher concentration be due to of superdisintegrants used in the formulation. The formulation batches containing Crospovidone + Croscarmellose sodium showed comparatively higher drug release than the other batches of formulation with corresponding concentrations of superdisintgrants. . The result was tabulated in Table no.8,9&10

### *In Vitro* drug release profile of Levocetirizine dihydrochloride tablets

Table .no.8 In vitro drug release data ofLevocetirizine diHCl tablets containing SSG andCrosspovidone:

	1	1		Researc	h Article
Formul	Time(	Absorb	Co	Cumul	%
ation	min)	ance	nc <sup>n</sup>	ative	Cumul
code		at.	in	drug	ative
		231.5	μg/	release	drug
		nm	ml		release
	3	0.198	6.3	3.194	63.87
	6	0.209	87	3.435	68.69
F1	9	0.216	6.7 42	3.615	72.30
	12	0.230	6.9	3.911	78.21
	15	0.243	68	4.195	83.89
			7.4 19		
			7.8 39		
	3	0.201	6.4	3.242	64.84
	6	0.219	84	3.597	71.94
F2	9	0.229	7.0 65	3.829	76.58
	12	0.241	7.3	4.096	81.92
	15	0.255	87	4.400	88.00
			7.7 74		
			8.2 26		
	3	0.205	6.6	3.306	66.12
	6	0.233	13	3.663	73.25
F3	9	0.231	7.1 94	3.864	77.27
	12	0.247	7.4	4.196	83.92
	15	0.261	52	4.502	90.03
			7.9 68		
			8.4 19		

Table.no.8	In	vitro	drug	g release	data	of
Levocetirizine	e dil	HCl ta	ablets	containing	SSG	and
CSS:						

Formul	Time(	Absorb	Со	Cumul	%
ation	min)	ance	nc <sup>n</sup>	ative	Cumul
code		at.	in	drug	ative
		231.5	μg/	release	drug
		nm	ml		release

3       0.198       6.3       3.194       63.87         6       0.209       87       3.435       68.69         9       0.226       6.7       3.776       7.552         12       0.237       7.2       4.027       80.53         15       0.251       90       4.329       86.58         15       0.251       90       4.329       86.58         8.0       7.6       4.5       14       14         8.0       90       4.329       86.58         9       0.211       8.0       15       66.73         9       0.211       84       3.337       66.73         12       0.226       84       3.761       75.21         15       0.226       6.8       3.913       78.25         15       0.226       7.1       29       14       14         15       0.226       7.1       3.370       67.39         6       0.205       7.2       3.370       67.39         6       0.205       6.6       3.3370       67.39         15       0.214       6.3       3.581       71.61         12       0.228	IJPPR (2023), Vol. 14, Issue 1					
6       0.209       87       3.435       68.69         9       0.226       42       3.776       75.52         12       0.237       7.2       4.027       80.53         15       0.251       90       4.329       86.58         15       0.251       90       4.329       86.58         15       0.251       90       4.329       86.58         16       0.251       90       4.329       86.58         15       0.251       90       4.329       86.58         16       0.203       7.6       1       1         6       0.203       80       3.129       62.58         6       0.203       84       3.531       70.62         12       0.221       84       3.531       75.21         15       0.226       66       3.913       78.25         6       0.205       7.1       29       1       1         76       9       0.214       6.3       3.161       63.23         6       0.205       3.370       67.39       3.370       67.39         6       0.214       6.6       3.581       71.61 <th></th> <th>3</th> <th>0.198</th> <th>6.3</th> <th>3.194</th> <th>63.87</th>		3	0.198	6.3	3.194	63.87
F4       9       0.226 ${42}^{6.7}$ 3.776       75.52         12       0.237       7.2       4.027       80.53         15       0.251       90       4.329       86.58         15       0.251       90       4.329       86.58         15       0.251       90       4.329       86.58         15       0.251       90       4.329       86.58         8.0       97       1       1       1         6       0.203       58       3.337       66.73         6       0.203       58       3.531       70.62         12       0.221       6.8       3.761       75.21         15       0.226       06       3.913       78.25         15       0.226       06       3.913       78.25         7.1       29       1       1       1         6       0.205       7.1       29       1       1         76       9       0.214       6.6       3.581       71.61         12       0.228       6.9       3.876       77.52         15       0.235       3.1       1.062       81.25		6	0.209	87	3.435	68.69
12       0.237       7.2       4.027       80.53         15       0.251       90       4.329       86.58         15       7.6       7.6       1       1         15       8.0       7.6       1       1         16       8.0       7.6       1       1         17       8.0       8.0       1       1         18       0.194       6.2       3.129       62.58         6       0.203       6.5       3.337       66.73         12       0.211       6.8       3.531       70.62         12       0.226       06       3.913       78.251         15       0.226       06       3.913       78.251         15       0.226       06       3.913       78.251         15       0.226       7.1       29       1       1         6       0.205       7.2       3.370       67.39         6       0.205       13       3.581       71.61         12       0.228       6.9       3.876       77.52         15       0.235       13       4.062       81.251         15       0.235 <th>F4</th> <th>9</th> <th>0.226</th> <th>6.7 42</th> <th>3.776</th> <th>75.52</th>	F4	9	0.226	6.7 42	3.776	75.52
15 $0.251$ 90 $4.329$ $86.58$ 15 $7.6$ $45$ $7.6$ $45$ $7.6$ $45$ $7.6$ $45$ $7.6$ 		12	0.237	7.2	4.027	80.53
Image: F6         Image: F6 <t< th=""><th></th><th>15</th><th>0.251</th><th>90</th><th>4.329</th><th>86.58</th></t<>		15	0.251	90	4.329	86.58
Image: symbol box symbox symbox symbox symbol box symbox symbox symbox symbox symbox sy				7.6 45		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				8.0 97		
6 $0.203$ $58$ $3.337$ $66.73$ $9$ $0.211$ $6.5$ $3.531$ $70.62$ $12$ $0.221$ $6.8$ $3.761$ $75.21$ $15$ $0.226$ $06$ $3.913$ $78.25$ $15$ $0.226$ $06$ $3.913$ $78.25$ $7.1$ $29$ $-1$ $-1$ $29$ $-1$ $-1$ $7.2$ $90$ $-1$ $-1$ $6$ $0.205$ $6.3$ $3.161$ $63.23$ $6$ $0.205$ $6.6$ $3.370$ $67.39$ $76$ $9$ $0.214$ $6.6$ $3.581$ $71.61$ $12$ $0.228$ $6.9$ $3.876$ $77.52$ $15$ $0.235$ $03$ $4.062$ $81.25$ $7.3$ $55$ $1$ $-1$ $7.5$ $81$ $-1$ $-1$		3	0.194	6.2	3.129	62.58
F5       9       0.211 $\begin{pmatrix} 6.5 \\ 84 \end{pmatrix}$ 3.531       70.62         12       0.221 $6.8$ 3.761       75.21         15       0.226       06       3.913       78.25         15       0.226       7.1       29       7.2         10       7.2       90       1       1         10       11       29       1       1         11       11       11       11       1         11       11       11       11       1         11       11       11       11       1         11       11       11       11       1         11       11       11       11       1         11       11       11       11       11       11         11<		6	0.203	58	3.337	66.73
	F5	9	0.211	6.5 84	3.531	70.62
		12	0.221	6.8	3.761	75.21
Image: state stat		15	0.226	06	3.913	78.25
Image: Second system         7.2 90         7.2 90         1           3         0.196         6.3         3.161         63.23           6         0.205         23         3.370         67.39           6         0.214         6.6         13         3.581         71.61           12         0.228         6.9         3.876         77.52           15         0.235         03         4.062         81.25           7.3         55         1         1         1           7.5         81         1         1         1				7.1 29		
3         0.196         6.3         3.161         63.23           6         0.205         23         3.370         67.39           6         0.214         13         3.581         71.61           12         0.228         6.9         3.876         77.52           15         0.235         03         4.062         81.25           7.3         55         14         71.61           15         7.5         81         14				7.2		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				90		
6       0.205 $23$ $3.370$ $67.39$ $9$ 0.214 $13$ $3.581$ $71.61$ $12$ 0.228 $6.9$ $3.876$ $77.52$ $15$ 0.235 $03$ $4.062$ $81.25$ $7.3$ $55$ $7.5$ $81$ $4.062$		3	0.196	6.3	3.161	63.23
F6         9         0.214         6.6 13         3.581         71.61           12         0.228         6.9         3.876         77.52           15         0.235         03         4.062         81.25           7.3         55         4.062         81.25           7.5         81         4.062         81.25		6	0.205	25	3.370	67.39
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	F6	9	0.214	6.6 13	3.581	71.61
15     0.235     03     4.062     81.25       7.3     55     7.3       7.5     81		12	0.228	6.9	3.876	77.52
7.3 55 7.5 81		15	0.235	03	4.062	81.25
55 7.5 81				7.3		
7.5 81				55		
				7.5 81		

Table no.10.In vitrodrugreleasedataofLevocetirizinediHCltabletscontainingCrosspovidoneand CSS:

Formu lation code	Time( min)	Absor bance at. 231.5 nm	Co nc <sup>n</sup> in µg/ ml	Cumu lative drug releas e	% Cumu lative drug releas e
	3	0.203	6.5	3.295	65.90
	6	0.216	91	3.572	71.44

				Researc	n Articie
F7	9	0.232	7.0	3.902	78.04
	12	0.244	13	4.172	83.44
	15	0.262	7.5 32	4.544	90.87
			7.9 22		
			8.5 06		
	3	0.207	6.7	3.36	62.21
	6	0.220	21	3.639	72.77
F9	9	0.237	7.1 43	3.986	79.72
го	12	0.254	7.6	4.339	86.77
	15	0.271	95	4.697	93.95
			8.2 47		
			8.7 99		
	3	0.210	6.8	3.409	68.18
F9	6	0.226	18	3.737	74.74
	9	0.243	7.3 38	4.086	81.72
	12	0.261	7.8	4.457	89.14
	15	0.278	9	4.818	96.36
			8.4 74		
			9.0 26		



Fig.No.2 *In vitro* drug release data of Levocetirizine diHCl tablets containing SSG and Crosspovidone



Fig no.14 *In vitro* drug release data of Levocetirizine diHCl tablets containing SSG and CSS



Fig.No.3 *In vitro* drug release data of Levocetirizine diHCl tablets containing Crosspovidone and CCS

### **RESULT & DISCUSSION**

### **PREFORMULATION STUDIES:**

**UV Scanning:** Preformulation studies were conducted prior to the development of mouth dissolving tablets of Levocetirizinedihydrochloride.It was found that the estimation of o Levocetirizinedihydrochloride by spectrometric method at 231.5 nm as shown in figure no.6 has good reproducibility.



Figno.4U.VspectrumofLevocetrizinedihydrochloride

### **Standard Plot:**

The calibration of curve Levocetirizinedihydrochloride also prepared in Sorenson's buffer (pH 6.8). The plot of different Levocetirizinedihydrochloride concentrations of versus absorbance was found to be linear in the concentration range of 8-24 µg/ml(Beer's range) at 231.5 nm. The absorbances at different concentrations were shown in Table no.15. The data of standard curve were linearly regressed. The correlation coefficient value was found to be 0.9996 respectively.

The calculation of drug content and *in vitro* drug release studies based on calibration curve.The calibration curve was shown in Figure.no..

Sr.no.	Concentration (ug/ml)	Absorbance(A <sup>0</sup> )
1	0	0
2	4	0
3	8	0
4	1	0
5	1	0
6	2	0
7	2	0

TableNo.11Conc<sup>n</sup>AndAbsOfLevocetrizineDihydrochloride



### Research Article

### *IJPPR (2023), Vol. 14, Issue 1* Figure no. 5 .Standard Calibaration Curve of Levocetirizinedihydrochloride

### Drug excipient compatability studies:

To study the compatability study of drug with varios polymers and resin ,IR spectra of drug and formulation component were carried out.The IR spectra of drug and excipient were shown in fig..And result was shown in Table.



Fig	no.6	-FT-IR	spectrum	of
Levoc	etirizinedił	ydrochloride		



Fig no.7-FT-IR spectra of Resin(kyron T-114)



Fig no8- FT-IR spectra of DRC



## TABNO.12-FT-IRPEAKSOFLEVOCETIRIZINE DIHYDROCHLORIDE

TYPEOF VIBRATION	cm <sup>-1</sup>
-Cl	705,758, 804
-С-Н	2944
-C-N	1359,1390
-COOar	1741
-OH	3373
-CH3	2983

TYPEOF VIBRATION	-1 cm
-Cl	758,804, 847
-C-Har	2890
-C-N	1362
-COOar	1742
-C-0	1134

### TAB NO. 13- FT-IR PEAKS OF KYRON T-114 AND LEVOCETIRIZINE DIHYDROCHLORIDE

### **Formulation Design:**

Mouth dissolving tablets of Levocetirizine Dihydrochloride were prepared to enhance overall bioavailability by using direct compression method. Total nine formulations were prepared in which the concentrations of the superdisintegrants is varied to evaluate the effect on the disintegration time of LevocetirizineDihydrochloride mouth dissolving tablets. The composition of nine formulations is given in Table. The tablets prepared with drug and excipients are shown in figure.







### Fig9: Formulation F 1 to F 9

#### Conclusion

The present was an attempt to formulate and standardize mouth dissolving tablets of an antihistaminic drug Levocetirizine Dihydrochloride. Prepared tablets were evaluated for Pre-compression Parameters and Post compression parameters. Flow properties - Angle of repose, loose bulk density, tapped density and also % Carr's compressibility was determined to all the formulations which showed good flow property. The shape and color of all formulations were found to be circular and white in color. The thickness found uniform in all the formulations. developed formulations, Amongst all the Levocetirizine Dihydrochloride mouth dissolving tablets formulated by using crosscarmellose sodium and crosspovidone as superdisintegrants, having hardness (2.5Kg/cm2), Friability (0.38%), Drug Content (4.900±0.077 mg). and it is fulfilling all the parameters. It has shown good in vitro disintegration time (22 sec), compared to other superdisintegrants. Water absorption ratio showed good absorptivity in all formulations. Hardness and friability of all the formulations indicated tablets were mechanically stable and percentage weight variation and drug content uniformity found within limits. Based on the results, formulation containing 5% crosspovidone and 3% crosscarmellosesodium (F9) was identified as ideal and better formulation. In vitro release of optimized formulation (F9) was found to be 96.36% drug release within 15 min. The final optimized formulation (F9) was compared with

### Research Article

marketed product of Levocetirizine dihydrochloride tablet (Xyzal) which shows 95.37% drug release in 24 min. From this observation it was concluded that the formulation tablet of Levocetirizine dihydrochloride (F9) were superior and effective in achieving patient compliance.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Das S, Srikanth L and Saha S., Taste masking of Ciprofloxacin by Ion exchange resin, *Int J Preclinical Phar Res.* 1(1): 2010; 7.
- 2. Ashoor JA, Rajab NA, Ghareeb MM, Abdulrasool AA. Preparation and evaluation of orodispersible tablets of finasteride using co-processed excipients. Int J Pharmacy Pharm Sci. 2013;5(2):64-9.
- **3.** Unicef.org. Dispersible\_Tablets.pdf. www.unicef.org/supply/files/Dispersible
- **4.** Khirwadkar P, Dashora K. A review: fast dissolving drug delivery system: current developments in novel system design and technology. International Journal of Biomedical and Advance Research. 2012;3(2):82-100.
- 5. En.Wikipedia.Org/wiki/cetrizine.
- Vimla Devi M., Krishna P, In: Jain N Eds, Advances in controlled and novel drug delivery, 1st Edn, CBS Publishers and distributors, New Delhi, 2001; 290-306.
- N.Kanakadurga Devi\*, A.Prameela Rani, B.R.Madhavi. Studies on taste masking of levocetrizine di hydrochloride using ion – exchang resins. RJPBCS 2010;1(2) p.245.
- Khan S, Kataria P, Nakhat P, Yeole P. Taste masking of ondansetron hydrochloride by polymer carrier system and formulation of rapid-disintegrating tablets. AAPS PharmSciTech. 2007; 8(2) :E1-E7.
- **9.** Vimla Devi M., Krishna P, In: Jain N Eds, Advances in controlled and novel drug delivery, 1st Edn, CBS Publishers and distributors, New Delhi, 2001; 290-306.
- N.Kanakadurga Devi\*, A.Prameela Rani, B.R.Madhavi. Studies on taste masking of levocetrizine di hydrochloride using ion – exchang resins. RJPBCS 2010;1(2) p.245.
- **11.** Khan S, Kataria P, Nakhat P, Yeole P. Taste masking of ondansetron hydrochloride by polymer carrier system and formulation of

- *IJPPR (2023), Vol. 14, Issue 1* rapid-disintegrating tablets. AAPS PharmSciTech. 2007; 8(2) :E1-E7.
  - 12. Nandgude TD,Bhise KS,Shinday BN, Sharma DK,Mouth dissolving tablets: geriatrics and paediatrics friendly drug delivery system.Ind Drugs 2007; 4; 271-2.
  - 13. United state Pharmacoepia.Asian Edition.Convention Inc.
  - 14. British Pharmacoepia.London: Her Majestry's stationary office: 2003.