**January [2013, Vol-4, Issue -1](http://www.ijppronline.in)**



Available online at www.ijppronline.in

# **International Journal of Pharma Professional Research**



**Research Article ISSN NO:0976-6723**

# **DEVELOPMENT OF EXTENDED RELEASE DOSAGE FORM OF NSAIDS USED IN COLON TARGETED DRUG DELIVERY. Mukesh Gupta<sup>1</sup> \*, AmiyaKanta Mishra<sup>2</sup>**

**1. \*Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Alwar College of Pharmacy, Alwar, Rajasthan.**

**2. Principal, College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Baliguali, Puri, Orrisa.**

### **ABSTRACT**

In the present work, extended release matrix tablets of Diclofenac Sodium was formulated above its site of absorption.Diclofenac sodium is a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) that exhibits anti-inflammatory, analgesic, and antipyretic activities. The anti-inflammatory action effects of Diclofenac sodium are believed to be due to inhibition of both leukocyte migration and enzyme COX (COX-1&COX-2) leading to peripheral inhibition of prostaglandin synthesis. Antipyretic effect may be due to action in hypothalamus, resulting in peripheral dilation, increased cutaneous blood flow and subsequent heat dissipation. Diclofenac sodium is a benzene acetic acid derivative, designated chemically as 2-[(2, 6-dichlorophenyl) amino] benzene acetic acid, monosodium salt. Formulation of Diclofenac sodium was formed by different techniques like direct compression and wet granulations having 100 mg strength

**Keywords:** Diclofenac Sodium, matrix tablet, COX, granulation, prostaglandin synthesis.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Diclofenac sodium is a non-steroidal antiinflammatory drug (NSAID) that exhibits antiinflammatory, analgesic, and antipyretic activities. The anti-inflammatory action effects of Diclofenac sodium are believed to be due to inhibition of both leukocyte migration and enzyme COX (COX-1&COX-2) leading to peripheral inhibition of prostaglandin synthesis. Antipyretic effect may be due to action in hypothalamus, resulting in peripheral dilation, increased cutaneous blood flow and subsequent heat dissipation. Diclofenac sodium is a benzene acetic acid derivative, designated chemically as 2-[(2, 6 dichlorophenyl) amino] benzene acetic acid, monosodium salt. It is a white or off- white powder having melting point is  $156-158^{\circ}$ C. It is soluble in methanol, soluble in ethanol, sparingly soluble in water and practically insoluble in chloroform and in dilute acid. Diclofenac should not be stored above 30°C (86°F) and should be protected from moisture. The n-octanol/water partition coefficient is 13.4 at pH 7.4 and 15.45 at pH 5.2. Diclofenac sodium has a dissociation constant (pKa) of  $4.0 \pm 0.2$  at 25°C in water. The structure of Diclofenac Sodium is given below (figure 1)

### **Figure 1: The structure of Diclofenac Sodium**



### **Pharmacokinetics**

Diclofenac is used to relieve pain and swelling (inflammation) from various mild to moderate painful conditions, for conditions related to inflammation, swelling, stiffness, pain, for relief of signs and symptoms of osteoarthritis, for relief of signs and symptoms of rheumatoid arthritis, for acute or long-term use in the relief of signs and symptoms of ankylosing spondylitis, for treatment of muscle aches, backaches, dental pain, menstrual cramps and sports injuries.



### **Table 1: Pharmacokinetics of Diclofenac Sodium**

#### **January 2013, Vol-4, Issue -1**

### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Diclofenac sodium was obtained from AmoliOrgenics; Ethylcellulose with different viscosity and particle size grades were received as a gift from Dow Chemical Company, USA; Microcrystalline cellulose was obtained from FMC Biopolymers; Lactose 200M was obtained from DMV fonsera excipients GmbltScokc, Germany; Polyvinylpyrrolidine from ISP technology; Dicalcium phosphate by Signet chemicals; Magnesium stearate from Mallinckrodt, USA; and Talc from Barrents, USA. Ethyl cellulose polymer is available in Standard Premium and Standard FP Premium grades, which are designed to meet the requirements of pharmaceutical applications.

### **Experimental methods**

# **Preparation of Extended Release Matrix Tablets of Diclofenac Sodium**

The composition of different formulations of extended release matrix tablets was shown in table 2. The ingredients were weighed accurately and mixed thoroughly. Tablets of Diclofenac Sodium were prepared by direct compression & wet compression method and their release profiles were compared to select the manufacturing process for further studies. Two batches with different grades of Ethyl cellulose (Ethocel 20cps &Ethocel 45cps) were prepared using direct compression method.





Batch was prepared with Ethylcellulose (Ethocel 20cps) using wet granulation method compression method. Formula is given in Table 3.

**Table 3: Formulation of Diclofenac sodium tablet using Ethyl cellulose for 100mg strength with direct compression and wet granulation methods**





#### **Effect of different viscosity grades**

Standard Premium (Ethocel 45cps, Ethocel 20cps, Ethocel 10cps, Ethocel 7cps), Table 4.

FRNAFION

Formulation batches were prepared using different viscosity grades of Ethyl cellulose

**Table 4: Formulation of Diclofenac sodium tablet using Ethyl cellulose Standard Premium grades with wet granulation method**



#### **Effect of particle size**

compared with Ethyl cellulose Standard Premium grades. Formula is given in Table 5.

Formulation batches were prepared using different Ethyl cellulose FP grades and

**Table 5: Formulation of diclofenac sodium tablets using Ethyl cellulose FP grades with wet granulation method.**







### **Effect of increasing binder concentration in**

### **formula**

Formulation batch was prepared with increased concentration of binder and was

compared to formulated batch containing optimum binder concentration using Ethocel 7FP, Table 6.

**Table 6: Formulation of Diclofenac sodium tablets by increasing binder concentration in formula with respect to optimum binder concentration batch.**



**Effect of increasing polymer concentration** Formulation batch was prepared by increasing the polymer concentration in the formula with Ethyl cellulose (Ethocel 7FP) and

microcrystalline cellulose and compared with less polymer concentration. Formula is given in Table 7

### **Table 7: Formulation of diclofenac sodium tablets with increased polymer concentration and**

### **compared to less polymer concentration.**





# **Effect of various diluents with their elastic or plastic properties**

Formulations were prepared using different diluents as Lactose, Dicalciumphosphate and microcrystalline cellulose with Ethyl cellulose (Ethocel 7FP) and compared to select the best diluent for further formulations. Formula is given in Table 8

# **Table 8: Formulation of Diclofenac sodium tablets using different diluents with Ethylcellulose (Ethocel 7FP) with wet granulation method.**



### **In – Vitro evaluation**

### **1. Evaluation of granules**

### **Bulk Density (BD)**

Bulk density was determined according to Method I as reported in USP XXXII. The drug powder was passed through BSS # 25 screens to break up agglomerates. The drug powder was introduced into a dry 100 ml tarred measuring cylinder. The powder was then carefully labeled, if necessary, without the

application of force and the unsettled volume (bulk volume) was noted. The weight of the powder was also noted and the bulk density was calculated as:

# **Bulk density (g/ml) = Weight of powder (g) / Bulk volume (ml)**

### **Tapped density (TD)**

After the initial volume  $V_a$ was observed, the cylinder containing the sample was mechanically tapped by raising the cylinder and allowing it to drop under its own weight onto a hard surface from the height of 2.5 cm at 2 second intervals. The tapping was continued until no further changes was observed in volume was noted and tapped volume  $V_b$  was noted. The tapped density was calculated from the formula given below:

**Tapped Density (g/ml) = Weight (g) / Tapped volume (ml)**

The flow properties of granules before compression were characterized in terms of angle of repose, Carr's index and Hausner **Table 6: Results of flow properties of granules** ratio. For determination of angle of repose, the granules were poured through the walls of a funnel, which was fixed at a position such that its lower tip was at a height of exactly 2.0cm above hard surface. The granules were poured till the time when upper tip of the pile surface touched the lower tip of the funnel. The  $tan^{-1}$ of the (height of the pile/ radius of its base) gave the angle of repose. Granules were poured gently through a glass funnel into a graduated cylinder cut exactly to 10ml mark. Excess granules were removed using a spatula and the weight of the cylinder with pellets required for filling the cylinder volume was calculated. The cylinder was then tapped from a height of 2.0cm until the time when there was no more decrease in the volume. Bulk density and tapped density were calculated.

**Hausner's Ratio = Tapped density / Bulk density**

**Carr's Index (%Compressibility Index) = [100× (TD-BD)]/TD**



**Friability of tablets**

# **2. Evaluation of colon targeted matrix tablets**

### **Weight variation tests of tablets**

Weight variation of the formulation was performed as per USP. 20 tablets were weighed using a Scale-Tec electronic balance individually and compared with the average weight of the twenty tablets.

### **Hardness of the tablets**

The hardness of five tablets was determined using Pfizer type hardness tester and the average values were calculated.

The friability of the tablets was measured in a Roche friabilator. Tablets of a known weight  $(W<sub>0</sub>)$  or a sample of tablets were dedusted in a drum for a fixed time (100 revolutions) and weighed (W) again. Percentage friability was calculated from the loss in weight as given in equation as below. The weight loss should not be more than 1% w/w.

% Friability =  $(W_0-W)/W0 \times 100$ 



### **Table 7: Results of evaluation of parameters of tablets from different batches**

### **Drug releasestudies:**

Studies were carried out using USP-III dissolution apparatus. Drug release studies were performed in 0.1 N HCl (2 hours), pH 7.5 Sorenson Phosphate Buffer (3 hours) and pH 7.5 Phosphate Buffered saline (PBS) with rat caecal contents. Samples of 1 ml were

taken from the medium at the definite time intervals and diluted to ten times by same dissolution media. The samples were assayed by using double beam UV spectrophotometer.

**Results of drug release studies in various dissolution media**

#### **January 2013, Vol-4, Issue -1**

Time(hrs)	$\mathbf{M1}$	M <sub>3</sub>	<b>M6</b>	<b>M10</b>
1	0.75	0.60	1.07	0.85
$\overline{2}$	1.25	1.12	2.12	1.52
4	15.65	12.25	18.15	10.15
6	27.26	23.41	29.98	16.45
8	42.98	35.45	48.29	25.65
12	63.35	48.24	62.23	33.12
16	76.25	62.15	72.51	60.11
20	87.25	77.84	87.12	78.88
24	97.64	95.45	97.28	92.12

**Table 7.10: Percentage release of Diclofenac sodium in Phosphate Buffer pH 7.5 from tablets**

**Figure 7.3: Percentage release of Diclofenac sodium in Phosphate Buffer pH 7.5 from tablets**



## **CONCLUSION**

Seventeen batches of extended release colon targeted tablets were made using various grade of ethyl cellulose in their maximum and minimum concentrations. Various effects of different grades on the drug release were noted. Formulation of Diclofenac sodium was formed by different techniques like direct compression and wet granulations having 100 mg strength. Batch M1 was formed by direct compression and batch M3 was formed by wet granulations. Four batches (M3, M4, M5 and M6) were formed to check the effect of different viscosity grade of ethyl cellulose. Four batches (M7, M8, M9 and M10) were

formed to check the effect of different fine particle grade of ethyl cellulose. Batches M10 and M11 shows the comparisons on the basis of different concentrations of the binder (Microcrystalline cellulose). Batches M10 and M12 shows the comparisons on the basis of different concentrations of the ethyl cellulose. Batches M13 and M14 shows the comparisons on the basis of different concentrations of the diluents with their elastic and plastic properties. Batches M15 and M16 show the comparisons with batch M10 and M13 using different diluents in double concentrations.

Results show that when ethyl cellulose was used alone in a same concentration in batch M1 and M3 with direct compression and wet granulation respectively, the batch M3 give the best evaluation parameter like weight variation, flow property, friability and hardness etc. as comparison to M1. The wet granulation technique is the best suitable technique for extended release dosage forms as comparison to direct compression. The M3 batch show less release as comparison to M1, it means this technique is best for extended release. When the percentage of microcrystalline cellulose was increased in batch M10 as comparison to other batches, the tablet shows the best extended release than the other batches. Some batches show the effect of different concentrations of diluents but the major effect of the binder was shown for the release of the drug in the formulations.

The overall studies show that the formulation techniques were shown an effect on extended release dosage forms. The wet granulation technique is the best technique for extended release dosage forms. This technique gives the best evaluation parameters of the tablets. Another studies show that the concentration of binder plays an important role in the release of extended release. When the concentration of the binder is increased, the release of the dosage form will decrease which is necessary for extended release. Out of all batches the batch M10 is the best suitable batch for the extended release. The data obtained from *in vitro* dissolution studies were fitted in different models to determine the mechanism

of drug release like Zero-Order Kinetics, First-Order Kinetics, Higuchi Kinetics, Hixon-Crowell`s Kinetics and Korsmeyer-Peppas Kinetics. The batch M10 shows the best result of release as comparison to the other batches.

### **REFERENCE**

- 1. Poole, J. W., Owen, G., Silvero, IN., and Roseman, S.B., Curr. Ther. Res., 19683. Remington: The science and practice of pharmacy, 20th edition volume1, Page. No: 858- 863.
- 2. Lachman L. and Lieberman H.A., Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms, In; Tablets, Vol. 2, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York.
- 3. Leon Lachman et.al; The Theory and Practice of Industrial Pharmacy, 3rd edition, Page. No: 293
- 4. D.M. Brahmankar, Biopharmaceutics and pharmacokinetics, 1995, Page. No: 17- 1871
- 5. M.E.Aulton, Pharmaceutics The science of dosage form design, 2nd edition, Page No: 360- 461.
- 6. Noyes, A.A and Whitney, W.R., J. Am. Chem., 1987, Page. No: 19.
- 7. Brunner, L and Tollockzo, S., Z. Physik. Chem., 1900, Page. No: 35.
- 8. Wurster,D.E. and Taylor, P.W., J. Pharm. Sci., 1965, Page. No: 54,169.
- 9. Leon Shargel and Andrew, B.C.Y.U., Applied Biopharmaceutics and Pharmacokinetics, 1999, Page. No: 4.
- 10. The Merck Index, 13th edition, 2001, Page. No: 6909.
- 11. James Swarbrick and James C. Boylan, Encyclopedia of pharmaceutical technology,2nd edition, volume-1, Page. No: 642- 647.
- 12. Raymond C Rowe, Paul J Sheskey and Siaane Owen, Handbook of Pharmaceutical Excipients, Page. No:132, 188, 213, 214, 449, 701 and 764.
- 13. B.K. Sharma, Instrumental methods of chemical analysis, 26th edition-2007, Page. No: S-283-314.
- 14. Leonards, J. R., Clin. Pharmacol. Ther, 1963, Page. No: 10.
- 15. Shefter, E. and Higuchi, T., J. Pharm. Sci., 1963, Page. No: 52.
- 16. Bedford. C, Busfield, D., Child, K. J., Mac Greegeroer. J., Sutherland, P. and Tomich, E.G. Arch. Dermatol, 1960, Page. No: 81.
- 17. Shaw, T.R.D. and Carless, J. E., Eur. 3. Clin. Pharmacol, 1974, Page. No: 7.
- 18. Indian Pharmacopiea, 4th Edn., Controller of Publications, New Delhi, 1996, A- 80,82
- 19. USP 24 and NF 19, US Pharmacopoeial Convention, Inc., Rockville, MD; 2000, 1941.
- 20. Drug information for health care professionals, 21st edition volume-1, 2001, Page. No: 2638.
- 21. KM Manjunatha1, MV Ramana2, D Satyanarayana2 ijps,2007,vol-69,p.no.384-389 Nokhodchi,Dj. Farid, M. Najafi and M. Adrangui, Drug development and industrial pharmacy,1997, Vol. 23, No. 11 , Pages 1019-1023
- 22. Amelia Avachat1 and Vikram Kotwal2 ,AAPS PharmSciTech, issue: volume 8, October 2007, page:51-56
- 23. Hindustan Abdul Ahad\*, Chitta Suresh Kumar, Kishore Kumar Reddy B, Ravindra BV, Sasidhar CGS, Abhilash C, SagarNRV,ijps,volume 1, issue 2, march-april 2010
- 24. Ashord M, Fell JT, Attwood D, Sharma H, Woodhead P. An evaluation of pectin as a carrier for drug targeting to the colon. J Control Rel 1993; 26:213- 220.
- 25. Fukui E, Miyamura N, Kobayashi M. An in vitro investigation of the suitability of presscoated tablets with hydroxypropylmethylcellulose acetate succinate (HPMCAS) and hydrophobicn additives in the outer shell for colon targeting. J Control Rel 200; 70:97-107.
- 26. Gazzaniga A, Iamartino P, Maffino G, Sangalli ME. Oral delayed release system for colonic specific drug delivery. Int J Pharm 1994; 108:77- 83.
- 27. Fukui E, Miyamura N, Verma K, Kobayashi M. Preparation of enteric coated time released press coated tablets and evaluation of their function by in vitro and in vivo tests for colon targeting. Int J Pharm 2000; 204:7-15.
- 28. Vassallo M, Camilleri M, Phillip SF, Brow ML, Chapman NJ, Thomforde GM. Transit through the proximal colon influences stool weight in the a irritable bowel syndrome. Gastroenterology 1992; 102:102-108.
- 29. Vonderohe MR, Camolleri M, Kvols LK, Thomforde GM. Motor dysfunction of the small bowel and colon in patients with the carcinoid syndrome and diarrhea. New Eng J Med 1993; 329:1073-1078.
- 30. Kinget R, Kalala W, Vervoort L, Mooter G. Colonic drug delivery. J Drug Target 1998; 6:129-149.
- 31. Hita V, Singh R, Jain SK. Colonic targeting of metronidazole using azo aromatic polymers, development and characterization. Drug Del 1997; 4:19- 22.
- 32. Rubunstein A. Microbially controlled drug delivery to the colon. Biopharm Drug Dispos 1990; 11:465-475.
- 33. Cummings JH, Englyst HN. (1987) Fermentation in the human large intestine and available substrates. Am J ClinNutri 1987; 45:1243-1255.
- 34. Scheline RR. Metabolism of foreign compounds by gastrointestinal microorganisms. Pharmacol 24 kur Rev 1973; 25:451-523.
	- 35. Peters R, Kinget R. Film-forming polymers for colonic drug deliver: Synthesis and physical and chemical properties of methyl derivatives of Eudragit S. Int J Pharm 1993; 94:125-134.
	- 36. Huang SI, Bansleben DA, Knox JR. Biodegradable polymers: Chymotrypsin degradation of low molecular weight poly (esterurea) containing phenylalanine. J App Poly Sci 1979; 23:429-437.
- 37. Swift G. Biodegradable polymers in the environment: are they really biodegradable. Proc ACS Div Poly Mat SciEng 1992; 66:403-404.
- 38. R.K. Verma, S. Arora, S. Garg, Osmotic pumps in drug delivery, Crit. Rev. Therap.Drug Carrier Sys. 21 (6) (2004) 477–520.
- 39. G. Santus, R.W.B., Osmotic drug delivery: a review of the patent literature,J. Control. Release 35 (1) (1995) 1–21.
- 40. P.S.L. Wong, S.K.G., B.E. Stewart, Osmotically controlled tablets, Drugs Pharm.Sci. 126 (2003) 101–114 (Mod-Rel. Drug Del. Tech.).
- 41. Theeuwes F, S.D., Wong P, Bonsen P, Place and H.K. V, Kwan KC., Elementary osmotic pump for indometacin. J pharm Sci 1983; 72:253-258.
- 42. Eckenhoff B, T.F., Urquhart J., Osmotically actuated dosage forms for rate-controlled drug delivery. Pharm Technol 1987; 11:96–105.
- 43. Theeuwes, F., Oral dosage form design—status and goals of oral osmotic systems technology.1984. Pharm. Int. 5, 293–296.
- 44. J. Shokri, P.A., P. Rashidi, M. Shahsavari, A. Rajabi-Siahboomi, A. Nokhodchi,, Swellable elementary osmotic pump (SEOP): an effective device for delivery of poorly water-soluble drugs, Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 68 (2) (2008) 289–297.
- 45. Krowczynsky, L.; *Extended-Release Dosage Forms*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1987.
- 46. Chien, Y.W.; *Novel Drug Delivery Systems*, 2nd ed., Marcel Dekker, New York, 1992.

### **Correspondence Address:**

### Mukesh Gupta,

Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Alwar College of Pharmacy, Alwar, Rajasthan.

E-mail- mukesh\_pharmacy@yahoo.co.in

Mobile No. 09811605286

- 47. Ravi Kumar, M.N.V.; Kumar, N. Polymeric Controlled Drug-Delivery Systems: Perspectives Issues and Opportunities. *Drug Dev. Ind. Pharm*, 27:1-30, 2001
- 48. Roseman, T.J.; Cardinelli, N.F.; in *Controlledrelease Technologies*, Vol. 1 (A. F. Kydonieus, ed), CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1980.
- 49. Veiga, F.; Salsa, T.; Pina, E. Oral Controlledrelease Dosage Forms. II. Glassy Polymers in Hydrophilic Matrices. *Drug DevInd Pharm*, 24:1- 9, 1988.
- 50. Colombo, P. Swelling-Controlled-release in Hydrogel Matrices for Oral Route. *Adv Drug Del Rev,* 11:37-57, 1993.
- 51. Sung, K.C.; Nixon, P.R.; Skoug, J.W.; Ju, T.R.; Gao, P.; Topp, E.M.; Patel, M.V. Effect of Formulation Variables on Drug and Polymer Release from HPMC-Base Matrix Tablets. *Int J Pharm*, 142:53-60, 1996.
- 52. Siepmann, J.; Kranz, H.; Bodmeier, R. HPMC-Matrices for Controlled Drug Delivery: A New Model Combining Diffusion, Swelling, and Dissolution Mechanisms and Predicting the Release Kinetics. *Pharm Res*, 16:1748-1756, 1999.
- 53. Ford, J.L.; Mitchell, K.; Rowe, P.; Armstrong, D.J.; Elliot, P.N.C.; Rostron, C.; Hogan, J.E. Mathematical Modeling of Drug Release from Hydroxypropylmethylcellulose Matrices: Effect **Cary** of the Temperature. *Int J Pharm*, 71:95-104, 199